

rose by 10%. Some would view such an increase with alarm because smokeless tobacco increases the risks of oral cancer and other oral diseases. On the other hand, Rodu argues that these elevated risks are very small and are more than offset by reductions in cigarette-related cancers and heart disease. The substitution of smokeless tobacco for cigarettes could be discouraged by raising the Federal excise tax on smokeless tobacco. But this would raise the cost of a safer nicotine delivery system than cigarettes and could be viewed as an unfair penalty on those who cannot give up their addiction.

Third, in strictly financial terms, we would expect a tax hike to yield higher rates of return in the short run than in the long run because of its cumulative effect in reducing smoking. The Becker et al. study implies that a Federal excise tax rate on cigarettes of approximately \$1.00 a pack would maximize long-run Federal revenue from the tax at roughly \$13.3 billion annually approximately 10 to 20 years after the new rate is in effect—only \$7.6 billion more than the revenue from today's 24-cent tax. Clearly, the 67-cent tax in the Hatch-Kennedy Bill, which is expected to yield an additional \$6 billion annually for the next few years, will have a much smaller yield in the long run.

The gap between long-run and short-run tax yields highlights a danger of justifying a cigarette tax increase to achieve goals other than reductions in smoking. For a while, public health advocates can have their cake and eat it too. But after a number of years, the large cumulative reduction in smoking would take a big bite out of the tax revenues initially generated by the tax hike. One would hardly like to see the development of a situation in which fiscal needs create pressure on the governments to encourage smoking or at least not discourage it. The extensive advertising campaigns conducted by state-run lotteries are examples of the danger of the government becoming too dependent on revenue from a harmful addiction.

CONCLUSION

We would like to see politicians and public health advocates focus discussions of the appropriate Federal cigarette excise tax rate squarely on the issue of reducing smoking. Both external costs and ignored internal costs justify the adoption of government policies that interfere with private decisions regarding the consumption of cigarettes.

Taxing cigarettes to reduce smoking by teenagers is a rather blunt instrument because it imposes costs on other smokers. But an excise tax hike is a very effective policy with regard to teenagers because they are so sensitive to price. The current Federal excise tax of 24 cents on a pack of cigarettes is worth about half in real terms of the 8-cent tax in effect in 1951. A substantial real tax hike to curb youth smoking should move to the forefront of the antismoking campaign.●

TRIBUTE TO DAVID SUSSMAN

● Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to David Sussman of Charlestown, NH, former professor at Holyoke Community College, for his outstanding service as a volunteer executive in Feodosia, Ukraine.

David worked on a volunteer mission with the International Executive Service Corps, a nonprofit organization which sends retired Americans to assist businesses and private enterprises in the developing countries and the new emerging democracies of Central

and Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union.

David assisted the Feodosia Institute of Management and Business, a business college, in developing plans for exchange of faculty and students with U.S. Colleges and for joint research.

David, and his wife Claire, spent a month in the Ukraine. Their outstanding patriotic engagement provides active assistance for people in need and helps build strong ties of trust and respect between the Ukraine and America. David's mission aids at ending the cycle of dependency on foreign assistance.

I commend David for his dedicated service and I am proud to represent him in the U.S. Senate.●

REMOVAL OF INJUNCTION OF SECRECY—TREATY DOCUMENTS NOS. 105-10, 105-11, AND 105-12

Mr. LOTT. As in executive session, I ask unanimous consent that the injunction of secrecy be removed from the following treaties transmitted to the Senate on July 8, 1997, by the President of the United States: Extradition Treaty with Luxembourg (Treaty Document No. 105-10); Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty with Luxembourg (Treaty Document No. 105-11); and Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty with Poland (Treaty Document No. 105-12). I further ask unanimous consent that the treaties be considered as having been read the first time; that they be referred, with accompanying papers, to the Committee on Foreign Relations and ordered to be printed; and that the President's messages be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The messages of the President are as follows:

To the Senate of the United States:

With a view to receiving the advice and consent of the Senate to ratification, I transmit herewith the Extradition Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, signed at Washington on October 1, 1996.

In addition, I transmit, for the information of the Senate, the report of the Department of State with respect to the Treaty. As the report explains, the Treaty will not require implementing legislation.

The provisions in this Treaty follow generally the form and content of extradition treaties recently concluded by the United States.

This Treaty will, upon entry into force, enhance cooperation between the law enforcement communities of both countries, and thereby make a significant contribution to international law enforcement efforts. It will supersede, with certain noted exceptions, the Extradition Treaty between the United States of America and the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg signed at Berlin

on October 29, 1883, and the Supplementary Extradition Convention between the United States and Luxembourg signed at Luxembourg on April 24, 1935.

I recommend that the Senate give early and favorable consideration to the Treaty and give its advice and consent to ratification.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, July 8, 1997.

To the Senate of the United States:

With a view to receiving the advice and consent of the Senate to ratification, I transmit herewith the Treaty Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters, signed at Washington on March 13, 1997, and a related exchange of notes. I transmit also, for the information of the Senate, the report of the Department of State with respect to the Treaty.

The Treaty is one of a series of modern mutual legal assistance treaties that the United States is negotiating in order to counter criminal activity more effectively. The Treaty should be an effective tool to assist in the prosecution of a wide variety of modern criminals, including those involved in drug trafficking, terrorism, other violent crime, and money laundering, fiscal fraud, and other "white-collar" crime. The Treaty is self-executing.

The Treaty provides for a broad range of cooperation in criminal matters. Mutual assistance available under the Treaty includes: taking testimony or statements of persons; providing documents, records, and articles of evidence; transferring persons in custody for testimony or other purposes; locating or identifying persons and items; serving documents; executing requests for searches and seizures; immobilizing assets; assisting in proceedings related to forfeiture and restitution; and rendering any other form of assistance not prohibited by the laws of the Requested State.

I recommend that the Senate give early and favorable consideration to the Treaty and give its advice and consent to ratification.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, July 8, 1997.

To the Senate of the United States:

With a view to receiving the advice and consent of the Senate to ratification, I transmit herewith the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Republic of Poland on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters, signed at Washington on July 10, 1996. I transmit also, for the information of the Senate, the report of the Department of State with respect to the Treaty.

The Treaty is one of a series of modern mutual legal assistance treaties being negotiated by the United States in order to counter criminal activity more effectively. The Treaty should be